GUIDELINES FOR SELECTING A CORE READING PROGRAM

- 1. What would an ideal set of reading/language arts instructional materials look like?
 - Do the instructional materials have a research-based foundation for the program?
 - Are there student anthologies with classic, contemporary, and multicultural literature, leveled reading books for beginning reading instruction, various types of assessments, and an easy-to-follow instructional sequence in the teacher's guide?
 - Do the supplemental offerings serve to support the basic set of materials?
- **2.** How does the scope and sequence of this program/series, grade level by grade level, stack up to the Common Core Standards?
 - Explicit instruction, practice, and assessment in reading needs to address the five areas of reading instruction: phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and text comprehension. How comprehensive is your program for each of these areas, across all grades levels?
 - Are skills just introduced and taught once, or are they reviewed and assessed in a spiral fashion throughout the lessons, themes, and grade levels?
 - Is there a logical organizational structure for the skills and strategies that are taught? If so, what does this structure look like?
- **3.** If the publishers make claims about the effectiveness of their products in promotional materials, what kind of research evidence is available to support these contentions?
 - Is there a scientific research document from the publisher that serves as the foundation for the program/series? Is it readily available?
 - Is there written evidence that the materials have been field-tested with teachers and real students? If so, what did the field-testing demonstrate? When did it take place? With what groups of students? And what were the results? What questions/concerns do these results raise about validity?
- **4.** Who are the authors of the series or program?
 - Are they established educators and researchers in the field of literacy (reading)?
 - What has been their role in the development of the program?
 - Do they represent diverse perspectives and backgrounds?
 - What is their philosophy about the reading process and instruction and is it reflected in the materials?
- **5.** If we follow a lesson from beginning to end, how much explicit instruction and modeling is included?
 - How much student practice is recommended?
 - How much silent "worksheet" work is included and recommended?
 - What is the balance between explicit instruction by the teacher and independent work by the student?
- **6.** How many opportunities do students have to actually read and write about authentic topics?

Adapted from: Vogt, M.E. & Shearer, B. A. (2003). *Reading specialists in the real world*. Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon. 2003.

- If the program claims to be "integrated," how are the language arts (i.e. spelling, writing, and grammar) taught, modeled, assessed, and practiced?
- **7.** What provisions are included for English Language Learners (ELLs)?
 - Are students provided with appropriate instruction of grade level concepts and vocabulary?
 - Are the supportive activities meaningful and useful for literacy acquisition?
 - Do they provide access to the same content as the English-only student is receiving?
 - Is there an obvious attempt to scaffold reading instruction for ELLs?
 - Is English proficiency taught and reinforced, as well as literacy skills?
 - Are the ELL recommendations more substantive than just one to two sentence cursory suggestions?
- **8.** What are the expectations of the materials regarding what children and adolescents know and can do?
 - Are these expectations appropriate to your school community?
 - What are the social skills and values being taught, modeled, and reinforced through the instructions plan and the literature? Are they appropriate for your school, district, and community context?
 - Are the stories and other literature pieces representative of your students who will be reading them? Will the students be able to make connections to themselves and their world?
 - Does the literature represent a variety of perspectives and views so that children/adolescents will have the opportunity to expand their own thinking? Are the discussions about values, ethics, and social contexts in agreement with the socio-cultural perspective of your community?
- **9.** Are the literary (including poetry) and informational texts well represented?
 - Is there a variety of text structures and genres?
 - Is there a wide enough variety of reading levels represented in the texts so that students of all reading abilities can have access to independent and instructional materials?
- 10. Are the provisions for struggling readers and accelerated readers appropriate and doable?
 - Will teachers be able to include additional instruction and experiences for students within the daily instructional plan?
 - Is careful attention paid throughout the program to motivate all learners?
- **11.** Is the instructional plan appropriate?
 - Does it activate, utilize, and develop students' background knowledge and experience?
 - Is there a balance of explicit instruction and multiple opportunities for students to practice and apply what they have learned?
- **12.** Is the instructional plan appropriate for a variety of teachers' skills, experiences, and abilities?
 - Will all teachers find something they can use whether beginning or experienced?
 - Will beginning teachers have enough structure and support to be successful with the program?

Adapted from: Vogt, M.E. & Shearer, B. A. (2003). *Reading specialists in the real world*. Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon. 2003.

- Is the plan easy to follow, comprehensive, and well designed?
- Will experienced teachers find the instructional plans helpful, but not overly prescriptive?
- **13.** In the instructional plan, are learning goals and objectives clearly stated and then assessed?
 - Does the plan ensure that students have exposure to, instruction in, practice with, and eventual mastery of the respective objectives and standards?
- **14.** Do supplemental materials, such as workbooks or technological tools, support and extend instruction, while providing opportunities for meaningful independent practice?
- **15.** Which of the supplemental materials are truly "supplemental" and which are really "necessary" for the program to run smoothly?
 - If you don't purchase the supplemental materials, what will be omitted instructionally?
- **16.** Are the pacing suggestions appropriate for your student population?
 - Is there a way to "slow down" or "speed up" the instruction without incurring additional, time-consuming work for the teacher?
- **17.** Are there extra handbooks or other resources, including technology, that contain important Instructional lesson plans for students needing additional support?
 - For accelerated learners?
 - For English Language Learners (ELL)?
 - What is in these handbooks? How will they be used?
 - What will happen to these students if the handbooks are overlooked by teachers or not purchased by the district?
- **18.** What is the role of assessment?
 - Is it integrated throughout the program?
 - Is it viewed as an ongoing process?
 - What skills are tested?
 - What is the format of the assessments and other tests?
 - Are there performance assessments as well as, other formats that are reflective of standardized tests?
 - What is the balance of assessment formats?
- **19.** Is there an appropriate balance between the number of pages of skills work, workbook pages, and so forth, and more authentic opportunities to respond to text?
 - Look beyond the labels. Most publishers will use similar labels, such as "intervention," "scaffolded instruction," or "integration." Take a closer look at the actual instruction, rather than just accepting the label at face value.
- **20.** Is the teacher encouraged to use a variety of grouping configurations throughout the week's plans?
 - Do students have opportunities to engage in meaningful activities with partners? Small groups? The whole class?

Adapted from: Vogt, M.E. & Shearer, B. A. (2003). *Reading specialists in the real world*. Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon. 2003.